

**From:** [Craig, Bill](#)  
**To:** [Bellion, Tara](#); [Evans, Jessica](#); [Smith, Neal](#)  
**Subject:** FW: [EXTERNAL] Donlin gas line comment  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 20, 2016 1:07:21 PM

---

-----Original Message-----

From: donlingoldeis, POA [<mailto:POA.donlingoldeis@usace.army.mil>]  
Sent: Wednesday, April 20, 2016 10:30 AM  
To: Craig, Bill  
Subject: FW: [EXTERNAL] Donlin gas line comment

-----Original Message-----

From: Ingrid Jensen [<mailto:finnbears@yahoo.com>]  
Sent: Monday, April 18, 2016 10:39 PM  
To: donlingoldeis, POA <[POA.donlingoldeis@usace.army.mil](mailto:POA.donlingoldeis@usace.army.mil)>  
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Donlin gas line comment

Dear Sirs,

I find it hard to believe that any mine can be allowed to leave such a large foot print anywhere, let alone on and along a trail in Alaska, that has been classified a National Historic Trail. I thought this meant it would be protected from such things. How can a pipeline project of this scale even be considered, to benefit only one mine.

The idea that this construction corridor will reclaim itself even in the next 100 years or more is preposterous.

It is my belief that there are other options to explore, like creating the power in a less invasive location, and sending in power by wire, that can be dismantled after the mining has ended leaving little trace.

I urge you to consider more options, not just which route to pick for the gas line. TOO much is at stake! Please extend the comment period, so more people can weigh in. Most people I have talked to haven't been informed of the true impact, they only see Donlin throwing money around, and buying endorsements.

Mike Jensen

Willow, Ak